

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. **FILING DATE** Yoel Zur ZUR=1A 10/623,583 07/22/2003 6538 EXAMINER 7590 04/08/2005 1444 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. HWU, DAVIS D 624 NINTH STREET, NW **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

3752

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/623,583	ZUR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Davis D. Hwu	3752	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet i		-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	i reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 July 2003.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal ma	-	; is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to perfect to the second and are subject to by the Exames 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subject to perfect to by the Exames 10.	d/or election requirement.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buret* * See the attached detailed Office action for a linear example. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· ——	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/03, 10/28/03. 	·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050)404

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-18 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,673.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the vortex generating member of '673 is provided with at least one depression 290A.
- 3. Claim 19 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,673. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the piston 210 of '673 is also sealingly displaceable within a cylinder 104 and the piston is displaceable by liquid entering the cavity and applying force on the piston as recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,583

Art Unit: 3752

4. Claim 20 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,673. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the piston 210 of '673 is displaceable together with the closure member 200 connected to an end of the piston rod.

Page 3

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINER